# **Soviet Statistics on Capital Formation**

A Reference Aid

SOV 82-10093 August 1982 This publication is prepared for the use of US Government officials, and the format, coverage, and content are designed to meet their specific requirements. US Government officials may obtain additional copies of this document directly or through liaison channels from the Central Intelligence Agency.

Requesters outside the US Government may obtain subscriptions to CIA publications similar to this one by addressing inquiries to:

Document Expediting (DOCEX) Project Exchange and Gift Division Library of Congress Washington, D.C. 20540

or: National Technical Information Service 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, VA 22161

Requesters outside the US Government not interested in subscription service may purchase specific publications either in paper copy or microform from:

Photoduplication Service Library of Congress Washington, D.C. 20540

or: National Technical Information Service 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, VA 22161 (To expedite service call the NTIS Order Desk (703) 487-4650)

Comments and queries on this paper may be directed to the DOCEX Project at the above address or by phone (202-287-9527), or the NTIS Office of Customer Services at the above address or by phone (703-487-4660). Publications are not available to the public from the Central Intelligence Agency.



# **Soviet Statistics on Capital Formation**

A Reference Aid

SOV 82-10093 August 1982

# **Soviet Statistics on Capital Formation**

### Introduction

This report presents a compilation of statistics on fixed capital assets and capital investment in the Soviet Union. Included are estimates of the value of fixed capital (a stock concept) as well as estimates of fixed capital investment (a flow concept)—both series by sector of the economy and by branch of industry. In addition, data are presented for gross additions to capital and utilization of national income for accumulation, retirement rates of the Soviet capital stock are estimated, and values of unfinished construction are given for various sectors of the Soviet economy. All the data are given in constant prices with the exception of the series on unfinished construction and on utilization of national income for accumulation.

These statistics were constructed from official data published by the Soviet Government. Specifically, the sources used were annual issues of the Soviet statistical handbook Narodnoye khozyaystvo (hereafter cited as N.kh.) and annual issues of the CEMA economic handbook Statisticheskiy yezhegodnik stran-chlenov soveta ekonomicheskoy vzaimopomoshchi (hereafter cited as the CEMA handbook). The methods used to construct each data series are documented in footnotes appended to each table and in an appendix describing the methodology used to convert data from one price base to another.

The statistics in this report might be questioned on two counts. First, there is the general consideration of whether data based entirely on official Soviet statistics can be trusted. Second, there is the more specific concern that the Soviet constant price investment series take inadequate account of inflation and thus exaggerate the amount of investments.

Neither of these doubts can be dispelled completely. Nevertheless, the available evidence suggests that the Soviet data do not suffer from serious distortion. Doubts about the reliability of the Soviet investment series—apart from inflation—have been largely allayed by Western economists, notably Norman Kaplan, Richard Moorsteen, and Raymond Powell. They have compared estimates of the Soviet capital stock obtained independently—by a perpetual inventory method—with the results of the 1960 and

Information available as of 1 April 1982 has been used in the preparation of this report.

1973 Soviet censuses as well as with the annual indexes of the capital stock published for intervening years. They found the correspondence between the official data and their own estimates to be quite close. For example, whereas the official index of the gross fixed capital stock implies an annual growth rate over the 13-year period of 8.4 percent, the perpetual inventory indexes implied a growth rate of 8.0 to 8.3 percent. Moreover, a similarly close correspondence was found for years extending back from the early 1960s to 1928.

In addition, the reliability of the Soviet data was tested in this study (see p. 9). One measure of their reliability is the degree to which the various statistical series published by the Soviets are consistent with each other because they should all be interrelated. Our analysis found the data to be reasonably consistent.

Arguments on both sides of the inflation debate are presented in the final part of this study. Our assessment is that (a) while inflation in construction and machinery cannot be established with any degree of certainty, it probably is mild—2 percent annually at most—and (b) the Soviets may well be deflating more or less accurately the current price series for these categories.

<sup>1</sup> See Richard Moorsteen and Raymond P. Powell, *The Soviet Capital Stock*, 1928-1962 (Homewood, Illinois: Richard D. Irwin, Inc.) and Raymond P. Powell, "The Soviet Capital Stock From Census to Census, 1960-1973," *Soviet Studies*, XXXI (January, 1979), pp. 56-75. The fact that the official Soviet series and the Moorsteen-Powell estimates give very similar results does not exclude the possibility that both series may embody some inflation. This is true because the constant "estimate prices" used in both the capital stock censuses and in enterprise accounts may in fact include some price inflation.

### **Contents**

		Page
Introdu	ction	iii
Gross F	ixed Capital	1
Gross F	ixed Capital Investment	2
Change	s in Capital Stock and Investment in Process	2
	Other Published Statistics	9
	Consistency of the Published Data	9
	Inflation and Soviet Investment Statistics	11
Append	ix	
	ing Data From One Price Base to Another	15
Tables		
1.	Gross Fixed Capital by Sector of the Economy, 1949-80	3
	Gross Fixed Capital by Sector of the Economy, 1949-80 Gross Productive Fixed Capital in Industry, Total and by Branch, 1959-80	3 4
1.	Gross Productive Fixed Capital in Industry, Total	
1.	Gross Productive Fixed Capital in Industry, Total and by Branch, 1959-80  Gross Fixed Capital Investment by Sector of the	4
1. 2. 3.	Gross Productive Fixed Capital in Industry, Total and by Branch, 1959-80  Gross Fixed Capital Investment by Sector of the Economy, 1960-80  Gross Fixed Capital Investment in Agriculture, 1960-80	5
1. 2. 3.	Gross Productive Fixed Capital in Industry, Total and by Branch, 1959-80  Gross Fixed Capital Investment by Sector of the Economy, 1960-80	5
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Gross Productive Fixed Capital in Industry, Total and by Branch, 1959-80  Gross Fixed Capital Investment by Sector of the Economy, 1960-80  Gross Fixed Capital Investment in Agriculture, 1960-80  Gross Fixed Capital Investment by Branch of Industry, 1960-80  Other Published Statistics	4 5 6 7 8
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Gross Productive Fixed Capital in Industry, Total and by Branch, 1959-80  Gross Fixed Capital Investment by Sector of the Economy, 1960-80  Gross Fixed Capital Investment in Agriculture, 1960-80  Gross Fixed Capital Investment by Branch of Industry, 1960-80	4 5 6 7

# Soviet Statistics on Capital Formation

### **Gross Fixed Capital**

The principal sources of information on the capital stock in the Soviet Union are the official capital censuses undertaken periodically by the Soviet Government. A census was carried out in 1959 and 1960. At that time the fixed capital on hand as of 1 January 1960 (excluding that on collective farms) was surveyed and revalued in 1955 prices. For a discussion of the 1959-60 census, see Norman M. Kaplan, "Capital Stock," A. Bergson and S. Kuznets (eds.), Economic Trends in the Soviet Union (Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press, 1963), pp. 96-149, and Richard Moorsteen and R. P. Powell, The Soviet Capital Stock, 1928-1962 (Homewood, Ill: Richard D. Irwin, Inc., 1966).

Another census was undertaken in 1971 and 1972. At that time, the stock of fixed capital on hand as of 1 January 1972 (for budget organizations, the stock of capital on hand as of 1 January 1973) was surveyed and revalued at replacement cost determined on the basis of 1969 estimate prices.<sup>2</sup> The stock of private housing was an exception; values formerly declared to be in 1955 prices were now declared to be in 1973 prices. Subsequent adjustments were made for new wholesale prices for equipment introduced on 1 January 1973. The 1971-72 census was a large and elaborate effort, involving 1.5 million enterprises and organizations, over 5 million workers, and 180 million distinguishable assets. For its execution, the Central Statistical Administration prepared 222 handbooks, 172 for the evaluation of equipment and 50 for structures. For a discussion of the 1971-72 census, see

Raymond P. Powell, "The Soviet Capital Stock From Census to Census, 1960-1973," *Soviet Studies*, vol. XXXI, No. 1 (January 1979), pp. 56-75.

Data on the value of the capital stock for noncensus years expressed in "comparable prices" are published in index form in the annual issues of *Narodnoye khozyaystvo*. The source of the official indexes is obscure. Powell presents evidence suggesting that the indexes are based on reports submitted annually by enterprises of the capital on their books. Little is known, however, about the source and nature of the deflators used.<sup>3</sup>

The Soviet definition of fixed capital (osnovnye fondy) includes the undepreciated value of buildings, structures, conveying equipment, machinery and equipment (operating and power machinery and equipment, measuring and control instruments and devices, laboratory equipment, computer hardware), vehicles, tools, and productive and draft livestock of basic herds (excluding young livestock, livestock allocated for fattening, and some minor categories such as poultry, rabbits, and fur-bearing animals). Fixed capital is broken down into "productive" and "nonproductive" capital. In Marxist parlance, productive capital is used directly in the production process. Nonproductive capital includes capital in the housing and municipal services sector and in organizations and institutions of public health, education, science, culture, art, credit institutions, and administrative organs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Estimate prices are those used for project estimates and for planning and reporting purposes. Cost estimating prices indicate the value of normed input requirements and purchased equipment plus normed overhead charges, where wages are reckoned at prevailing rates of the indicated year and materials inputs and equipment at wholesale transfer prices of the indicated year. Values at estimate prices differ, therefore, from actual investment outlays of the indicated year insofar as actual input consumption, overhead outlays, and distribution costs differ from the corresponding norms. See Richard Moorsteen and Raymond P. Powell, *The Soviet Capital Stock*, 1928-1962 (Homewood, Illinois: Richard D. Irwin, Inc., 1966), p. 187.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Powell, op. cit., p. 66.

In practice this obviously leads to compromise in difficult accounting situations. For example, freight transportation and communications serving production are viewed as productive activities while passenger transportation and communications serving the public are considered nonproductive. The capital stock data published in the annual issues of N.kh., however, categorize all transport and communications capital assets as productive—probably because of the practical difficulty of clearly delineating and separating out the two types of activities. Less frequently published data such as the input-output tables, on the other hand, do make this differentiation.

The statistics on Soviet gross fixed capital stock shown are presented by sector of the economy (table 1) and by branch of industry (table 2); all values are expressed in constant 1973 prices. The specific sources and methods used to construct the data series are explained in the footnotes to the tables.

### **Gross Fixed Capital Investment**

In general terms, fixed capital investment is a measure of a nation's yearly expenditure on reproducible fixed assets—machinery and production facilities—as part of the process of undertaking new projects and continuing and completing existing projects. Gross fixed capital investment includes net capital formation plus depreciation. It may or may not include expenditures on capital repairs depending upon the convention adopted by individual countries. The Soviet definition of capital investment (kapital'nye vlozheniya) excludes capital repairs; 5 it includes outlays for new construction, for reconstruction, expansion and reequipment of existing industrial, agricultural, transportation, trade and other enterprises, as well as outlays for construction of housing, municipal service facilities, and facilities for rendering cultural and everyday services to the public.

Soviet gross fixed capital investment includes outlays for construction work, including assembly of structural elements which become part of the structure of a building; outlays for the work of installing equipment; outlays for the drilling of producing and exploratory petroleum and gas wells; outlays for equipment whether requiring installation or not; outlays to acquire production tools and equipment for maintenance and upkeep; outlays for survey work in the project planning stage; outlays for other operations classified among capital investments, and miscellaneous outlays.

Not included in Soviet gross fixed capital investment are expenditures for the following: geological exploration; design work for cities, urban settlements, and for planting forests and forest belts; foundation herds; equipment for existing government institutions, schools, hospitals, kindergartens, and day nurseries; and major repairs of buildings and installations, equipment, vehicles, and other fixed assets.

The statistics on gross fixed capital investment in the USSR are presented by sector of the economy (table 3). The investment series for the agricultural sector is then singled out and broken down in various ways (table 4). Finally, the industrial investment data are presented by individual branches of industry (table 5). The data on gross fixed capital investment presented in tables 3 through 5 are given in 1973' prices to differentiate them from the 1973 price base of the gross fixed capital stock data presented in tables 1 and 2. The gross fixed capital investment data for plants are given in 1969 estimated prices, adjusted for reduced construction-installation coefficients introduced on 1 January 1976. For producer durables, the data are given in 1969 estimate prices, adjusted for new wholesale prices introduced on 1 January 1973. Overall, in terms of prices the investment data are probably as comparable as possible to the statistics on the gross fixed capital stock, but some differences may still exist, hence the designation "1973' prices." 6 The specific sources and methods used to construct the data series are explained in the footnotes to the tables.

### Changes in Capital Stock and Investment in Process

In addition to publishing data on the value of fixed capital stock and gross fixed capital investment, the Soviet Government publishes several other statistical series relating to capital formation. These data are compiled and presented in table 6. All of the data on capital formation published by the Soviet Government should, theoretically, be interrelated and logically consistent. Indeed, determining the consistency of the data is an important issue because the statistical series are used in the West to assess the efficacy of Soviet investment policies in particular and the performance of the Soviet economy in general. Consequently, this report discusses testing of the consistency of the published data. Finally, some brief comments on the impact of wholesale price inflation in the USSR on official investment statistics follow.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> In Soviet practice maintenance expenditures fall into two categories—current and capital repairs. Current repairs, which are financed as a component of production costs, cover preventive maintenance and routine servicing of machinery and equipment. Capital repairs, which are financed out of amortization allowances, involve major renovating outlays to replace defective or worn parts of existing assets.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> For a discussion of how the Soviets estimate construction costs, see Research Aid ER76-10068 (Unclassified), February 1976, Ruble-Dollar Ratios for Construction.

(1970) rubles

69.1 billion (1970) rubles

Sum

d For Release 2007/03/14 : CIA-RDP83T00853R000100020002-2

(Excluding livestock) b (Livestock) b

Nonproductive

Agriculture (Including livestock) (Excluding livestock) t (Including livestock)

Total gross fixed capital (Including livestock)
(Excluding livestock)

Table 1

	End of Year	ear									 	End of Year									
	1959	1960	1961	1962	1963	1964	1962	1 9961	1967	961 8961	61 6961	1761 0761	71 1972	1973	3 1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	6261	1980
Total gross productive fixed capital in industry b	68	901	112	125	140	153	89	183	197 21	214 233		255 278	301	326	354	385	416	445	480	514	551
Fuels and power c	28.8	32.4	36.4	39.2	43.0	47.2	6	_	,	,		2	2	, oo	4			ļ	136.0	145.6	156.5
Electric power	13.4	15.8	18.7	20.6	22.8	25.1	27.4							52.6 56.2	.2 59.8	8 63.8	8 67.9	71.5	76.0	80.5	85.4
Fuels	15.4	16.6	17.7	18.6	20.2	22.1	23.5							38.2 41.2	.2 44.6	5 48.0	52.0	55.3	0.09	65.1	1.17
Coal d	Ϋ́N	Ϋ́Х	Ŋ	Ν	NA	10.4		NA						14.9 15	15.6 16.3	3 17.3	NA NA	٧٧	NA	NA	Ν¥
Oil extraction d	NA	NA.	NA	Ν	×	6.4	7.1	NA.	8.3			10.7	11.5	12.6 13	13.9 15.2	2 16.7	Y.	ΥN	V	NA	NA
Oil refining 4	Ϋ́N	Ϋ́N	NA	NA	Ν×	2.8	3.2	NA	3.7	5.4	5.6	6.2	9.9	7 6.9	7.3 7.8	8.2	V.	NA	NA	NA	NA
Gasd	Ν	N.	ν.	Ν	¥	6.0	1.0	NA NA	4.	1.3	1.4	9.1	2.0 2	2.3 2	2.8 3.5	5 4.2	NA S	¥.	V	V.	٧×
Other fuels ¢	٧×	Ϋ́	VA	NA	Ϋ́	9.1	1.3	NA	1.5	0.4	1.0	1.2	1.6	1.5	1.6 1.8	3 1.6	Y.	N	NA	NA	NA
errous metallurgy	9.1	10.5	12.0	13.2	14.5	15.8	17.3	18.8	20.3 2	22.1 24	24.0 2	26.3 2	27.9 25	29.7 32	32.3 34.7	7 37.6	5 40.0	42.6	45.5	47.3	50.7
Chemicals and petrochemicals	8.4	5.5	6.3	7.5	9.0	10.8	12.8	14.3	15.9		19.9	22.7 2	25.0 27	27.2 30	30.0 32.9	35.8	39.0	41.5	42.4	8.08	55.6
Machine building and metalworking	16.5	18.3	20.3	22.7	25.3	28.3	31.6	34.5	37.8 4	41.3 4	5 45.1 5	50.8 5	55.9 61	61.5 67	67.6 74.7				108.7	117.9	128.6
Construction materials	4.4	5.5	8.9	7.4	8.0	8.7	9.4	10.2	11.0	11.9	12.9	14.4	15.7 17	17.5 19.1	.1 20.5	5 22.2	2 23.8	25.6	27.3	28.7	30.3
Consumer goods f	12.5	14.4	17.0	18.2	19.4	8.02	22.2	24.0	25.9 2	28.1 30	30.4	32.5 3	34.9 37	37.7 41	41.0 43.9	9 46.7	7 49.8	52.5	55.6	9.89	62.3
Light industry	4.5	5.0	8.8	6.1	6.5	7.0	7.4	8.1	8.8	9.6	10.5	11.6	12.5	13.7 14	14.9 15.9	9 16.8	8 18.0	1.61	20.5	21.6	23.0
Processed foods industry	8.0	9.4	11.2	17.1	12.9	13.8	14.8	15.9	17.1	18.5	19.9	20.9 2	22.4 24	24.0 26.1	.1 28.0	0 29.9	9 31.8	33.4	35.1	37.0	39.3
Fimber, woodworking, paper	4.9	5.7	6.9	7.3	7.8	8.3	6.8	9.5	10.1	10.9	11.6	12.7	13.6	14.8 16	16.0 17.3	3 18.4	4 19.7	21.0	22.4	23.7	25.3
Other 8	8.0	7.7	6.3	9.5	13.0	13.1	14.9	16.0	15.7	16.4	17.4			21.8 22	22.6 25.6	5 30.7	7 32.8	35.9	39.1	41.4	41.7
Dibate. The gross productive fixed carpital series are derived using the house distribution of industrial capital series are derived using the house distribution of industrial capital series whether the house of house of the M. K. 1974, pp. 188-199. Base year values (19 Yet expressed in 1973 arries were decirated havinghying the base of each industrial forms they the value of four industry aspiral stock for 1974 estimate from the Li These have serv railes (1974 values expressed in 1973 intrice) were moved by the indexes of gress productive faced assets for the period (1975) and M. Kal. 1980, p. 131, and M. Kal. 1980, p. 141 (converted to 1974 = 100). Value of 1955-69 are compiled for all industrial enterprises. The data for 1970-79, on the compiled for all industrial enterprises. The data for 1970-79, on the other hand, chied does have fix the movement of converted to cover their costs and care any profit. Stime most enterprises are operative to some their costs and care any profit. Stime most enterprises are understoned to cover their costs and care any profit. Stime most enterprises are operative on a McAnnarchely basis, the data for 1970-profits on the McAnnarchely basis, the data for 1970-profits on profits are believed to be	8.0 dusing the dusing the hold in N.kh. saed in 1973 ased in 1974 obtained the chindstrial precased in 1973 precased in 1973 professed in 1979 p. N. kh. 1979, p. N. kh. 1979, p. 1970, p. duses for B. e. 1970 values for B. e. 1970 values for B. e. 1970 value obtained to sever more quired to cover anow operation at united to cover quired to cover one prowegored to be elieved to b	-	6.3  • From table 1.  • From table 1.  • From table 1.  • The sad power actegories.  • Data for indiv 1970 through 1.  • The sad for indiv 1970 through 1.  • The sad in N. M. where possible to in billion rather possible to in billion rather possible to where possible to in billion rather publish N. M. M. 1965, pp. 1968 in N. M. M. 1968 in N. M. M. 1968 in N. M. 1968 in N. M. M. 1968 in N.	9.5 wer" is the vidual energ 1975, the 19 s moved as moved to 1975, the 19 s moved to 20 to 1975, p. 2. by multiply (1975, p. 2. by multiply (1973, prior for 1976, p. 1968, pp. 2 for individu	7. 7. 6.3 9.5 13.0 13.1 14.9 16.0  "Program table 1.  "Program day over" is the sum of the "Electric power" and "Fuels" criticated and power" in the sum of the "Electric power" and "Fuels" criticated and power of the "Electric power" and "Fuels" criticated and power (1) For 100 through 1975. It is 1874 value obtained in accordance with formate of the 1874 value obtained in accordance with formate of the 1874 value obtained in accordance with formate of the 1874 value of the 1874 value of capital and in Act. 1985, p. 319. Values for prior years ware derived where programs better the 1874 real in Activation of the 1874 value of 1874 value of the 1874 value of 18	Electric px Electric px were derive tained in at of producti of producti of producti of profus yea ustrial capi ales, pp. 1th OK.Ab 1965 for 1969 in ches has nc	wer"and " a as follows coordance ve fixed ea; rist were dea; rist	1	"Cother fuels freely fr	ls.' is obtai r goods" is 1 ry." derived as s and porcel	as a resid num of "L sidual. Th , and misc	17.1 ; tal; include tal; include tis category claneous o	0.5 2 s peat, shal y" and "Pr y" includes no ther branch	os .					39.1	4.	F.14

Gross Fixed Capital Investment by Sector of the Economy, 1960-80 a															-						
	1960	1961 6	1962 b	1963 b	1964 b	1965	39961	1967 c	1968 c	1969 ه	1970	1971 c	1972 c	1973 ∘	1974 c	1975 1	1976 1	1977	1978 1979		1980
Total gross fixed capital investment	41.394	44.300	45.634	48.632	53.255	56.015	59.925	64.928	70.024	72.355	80.671	86.536	92.735	97.092	104.028	112.895	117.970	122.287	129.685 130	130,655	133.500
Productive	26.882	29.295	30.119	32.590	36.668	38.864	40.743	43.890	47.728	49.707	56.120	60.903	66.165	70.465	75.972		1	1	1		981.66
Industry	14.838	16.375	16.366	17.420	19.571	20.620	21.197	22.538	24.258	25.144	28.526	30.010	32.152	33.914	36.312	39.712		43.454			47.280
Agriculture and forestry	5.473	6:029	6.683	7.389	8.724	9.526	10.266	11.029	12.387	12.959	14.401	16.496	18.012	19.824	21.497	23.432		25.047			27.020
Agriculture	5.440	5.962	6.541	7.215	8.585	9.477	10.090	10.769	12.015	12.517	14.276	16.430	17.984	19.856	21.579	23.293		24.908			26.850
Forestry	0.033	es.	es		8	0.049	8	es .	8		0.125	es .			8	0.139	0.149	0.139	0.416 0.		0.170
Transportation and communications	4.092	4.202	4.428	5.033	5.401	5.610	5.769	880.9	6.537	7.001	7.986	8.650	9.875	10.599	11.567	12.718	13.323	13.891	16.332 16.	16.200	16.145
Construction	1.201	1.315	1.230	1.263	1.411	1.467	1.778	5.086	2.462	2.602	2.990	3.404	3.610	3.704	3.922	4.347	4.990	4.652	5.155 5.	5.312	5.321
Trade, material and technical supplies, services, and procurements	1.278	1.344	1.412	1.485	1.561	1.641	1.733	2.149	2.084	2.001	2.217	2.343	2.516	2.424	2.674	2.775	2.832	3.163		3.405	3.420
Nonproductive	14.512	15.005	15.515	16.042	16.587	17.151	19.182	21.038	22.296	22.648	24.551	25.633	26.570	26.627	28.056	29.911	30.816	32.080	33.090 33	33 422	34.314
Housing	9.416	8.973	8.795	8.776	8.408	685.6	10.574	11.395	12.005	12.351	13.364	14.028	14.573	15.078	15.530	16.265		17.013			17 934
Science, education, culture, and art	2.417	2.596	2.789	2.995	3.217	3.456	3.702	4.178	4.187	4.258	4.422	4.784	4.944	4.819	5.341	5.883		6.361			6.437
Health, social insurances, physical culture, tourism, communal economy, and personal services	2.679	3.436	3.931	4.271	4.962	4.106	4.906	5.465	6.104	6:039	6.765	6.821	7.053	6.730	7.18\$	7.763	8.169	8.706		9.496	9.943

fixed capital investment," "Productive" investment, and "Nonproductive" investment, and all the individual sectors except "Agriculture," "Forestry," and "Health" were obtained from the CEMA a This table presents benchmark data for 1960, 1965, 1970, and 1975-80 expressed in 1973 prices obtained from NAA, 2a 60 let, 1917-71, 1977, 1978, 1979, and 1980 issues and the 1977, 1978, 1979, 1980, and 1981 CEMA handbooks. Values for "Total gross 1979, 1980, and 1981 CEMA handbooks. Values for "Total gross".

The "Health" values were found by subtracting "Housing" and 'Science" from "Nonproductive" investment.

table 4. This value series includes total productive investment in agriculture. It includes investment in agriculture. It includes investment is agriculture. It includes investment sea construction and equipping of livestock shelters, irrigation and drainage construction, electrification, and expenditures for tractors, transportation, actualization and expenditures for tractors, transportation, parallel, this concept of investment in agriculture is close to the Values for agricultural investment are developed and discussed in

coverage normally used in Western countries). Three other concepts b Calculating values for 1961-64 was difficult because the CEMA of agricultural investment are discussed and values presented (where handbooks contain no data for those years.

ture and forestry, sectors into one category under the rubric.

"Agriculture and forestry." Since total productive investment in agriculture alone is given in annual sisten of A.M., it should be possible to obtain a series of values for "Forestry" by subtracting 199 expiculture alone is sisten of A.M., it should be possible to obtain a series of values for "Forestry" by subtracting 199 afficulture. To forestry, "This exercise was attempted. However, for some of the nonbenchmark years, nonsensical results were obtained—probably because the data for those years had to be manipulated to convert it to 1973 prices. Investment in forestry" impact heavily on the "Forestry" residual. Therefore, price for all categories, that is, as where data were published in 1973 prices for all categories, that is, as 1960, 1965, 1970, and 1975-80, thereby making direct comparisons 199 The CEMA handbooks aggregate capital investment in the agriculpossible.

1960 = 100

(5) "Productive investment" was calculate summing the values for the individual sectors. (1) Values for "Industry," "Agriculture and forestry," "Trans-

(6) Values for "Nonproductive investment" for 1961, 1962, 1962, 1963, 1963, 1963, 1963, 1963, 1963, 1963, 1964, 1964, 1965, 1964, 1965, 1964, 1965, 1964, 1965, 1964, 1965, 1964, 1965, 1964, 1965, 1964, 1965, 1964, 1965, 1964, 1965, 19 1964 was calculated as the sum of "Productive" and "Nonproduc-(2) Using this data, an index was calculated for these sectors with (3) This index was multiplied by the benchmark value for 1960 (in 1973' prices) to obtain values for 1961, 1962, 1963, and 1964 portation and communications," "Construction," "Housing," and "Science" for 1960-64 in 1955 prices were obtained from annual issues of N.kh.

methodology outlined in the appendix using data published in 1969 prices found in earlier issues of the handbooks. Estimates of "Total gross fixed capital investment," "Productive" investment, and "Nonproductive" investment were estimated by summing their tive" investment. c Values for the years 1966-69 and 1971-74 were derived using the expressed in 1973' prices.

(4) Data for "Trade" are not published separately in the N.kh.
Consequently, values for 1961 through 1964 expressed in 1973'
prices were generated by calculating the average annual rate of
growth during 1961-65 wing the 1960 and 1965 benchmark values
and adatum points. Values for 1961, 1962, 1963, and 1964 expressed in
1973' prices were calculated on the basis of this rate of growth.

components.

Billion Rubles, 1973' Prices

Total capital investment by the state and collective 6.527 farms in agriculture a State farms Productive NA Productive NA	1961	1962	1063	1001	1065		1067	_									İ			
ent by the state and collective			361	1964	2061	1966	/961	1968	1 6961	1970 1	1971	1972 1	1973	1974 1	1975 1	1976	1977	1978	1979	086
ive	7.227	7.789	8.569	10.278	11.471	12.346	13.630	15.131	15.569 1	17.453	807.61	21.468 2	23.544	25.721 2	27.903 2	29.119 3	30.0	31.4	31.8	32.6
	3.865	4.375	5.049	6.304	7.091	7.403	8.029	8.908	9.684	10.908	2,603	13.803	15 245	1 150 51	1 559 81	10,611	20.2	ı		
	3.024	3.463	4.005	5.066	5.705	5.986	6.389	7.129										ŀ		5.77
Nonproductive	0.841	0.912	1.044	1.238	1.386	1.417	1 640	1 770						1			]			18.5
Collective farms	3.362	3.414	3.520	3.974	4 380	4 943	\$ 601	+-		1	7 106		1117							3.8
Productive	2.938	3.078	3.210	3.519	3.772	4 104	4 380	-		i	201.7		7,000							10.3
Nonproductive	0.424	0.336	0.310	0.455	8090	0.839	1 221	+			0.001	ļ	000./					8.3	4.8	4.
Total productive investment b 5.440	5.962	6.541	7.215	8.585	9.477		10 769	†					i	1.531	'	1				6.1
State farms NA	3.024	3.463	4.005	5.066	5 705	ļ	6 380	+		]								ļ		26.9
Collective farms	2 0 38	3 0 78	3 210	3 510	2 773	7017	4 300	+	ĺ		-	1			-		_			18.5
to the continue of the continu	200	900	017:0	210.0	3.112	1.10	4.300	+	ı	1		6.534	7.088	7.439	7.753	7.916	8.1	8.3	8.4	8.4
	1.203	1.248	1.334	1.693	1.994	2.256	2.861	3.116	3.052 3	3.177	3.278	3.484	3.688	4.142	4.610	4.853	5.1	5.4	5.4	5.7
State farms NA	0.841	0.912	1.044	1.238	1.386	1.417	1.640	1.779	1.871 2	2.018	2.230	2.353	2.477	2.811	3.115			3.6		800
Collective farms	0.424	0.336	0.310	0.455	809.0	0.839	1.221	1.337	1.181	1.159	1.048	1.131	1.211					8 -		1.0
Gross fixed investment in agriculture—entire  complex of works d	NA	X Y	NA	NA A	12.3	13.5	14.9	16.6	17.1	19.4		7		<u>ش</u>	"	£				35.9
State farms NA	NA NA	NA	NA	NA	7.4	7.9	9.8	9.6	103	811	13.6	14.0	16.4	, ,	100					
Collective farms	NA	NA	NA	NA.	4.9	5.6	63	-												24.0
Productive	NA	NA	Ϋ́	¥	10.5		12.2	f	-		,	1		1						11.9
Nonproductive	Ą	NA AN	NA.	V.	×		2.7	T												30.7 8
Gross fixed investment in agriculture and branches								t		1.	3.1		5.5	3.9	7.4	4.5	8.4	5.0	5.1	5.3

Gross fixed investment in agriculture and supporting its development.e f

Benchmark data expressed in 1973 prices were obtained for 1965 and 1970 though 1980 from the table entitled "Capital Investment of the State and Collective Farms in Agriculture" found in N.Kh., 26 60 fet, 1917-77, p. 441; N.Kh., 1973, p. 357; N.Kh., 1979, p. 371; and N.Kh., 1980, p. 341; Values for "Nonproductive" investment were obtained by subtracting "Productive" investment were obtained by subtracting "Productive" investment from "Total unvestment." For the years 1966 through 1969 the methodology described in the appendix was used to obtain values expressed in 1973 rubles. For the years 1966 through 1964 the following procedure was followed to obtain value expressed in 1973 prices; (1) From the same table in earlier issues of N.Kh., data expressed in 1969 prices were collected and indexed with 1965 set equal to 100. These indexes were multiplied by the benchmark value for 1965 expressed in 1973 prices to obtain values for 1960, 1961, 1962, 1963, and 1964 expressed in 1973 prices to obtain values for 1960, 1961, 1962, 1963, and 1964 expressed in 1973 prices to obtain values for 1960, 1961, 1962, 1963, and 1964 expressed in 1973 prices to obtain values for 1966, 1961, 1962, 1963, and 1964 expressed in 1973 prices to obtain values for 1960, 1961, 1962, 1963, and 1964 expressed in 1973 prices to obtain values for 1960, 1961, 1962, 1963, and 1964 expressed in 1973 prices to obtain values for 1960, 1961, 1962, 1963, and 1964 expressed in 1973 prices to obtain values for 1960, 1961, 1962, 1963, and 1964 expressed in 1973 prices to obtain values for 1960, 1961, 1962, 1963, and 1964 expressed in 1973 prices to obtain values for 1960, 1961, 1962, 1963, and 1964 expressed in 1973 prices to obtain values for 1960, 1961, 1962, 1963, and 1964 expressed in 1973 prices to obtain values for 1960, 1961, 1962, 1962, and 1964 expressed in 1973 prices to obtain values for 1960, 1961, 1962, 1962, 1963, 1964 expressed in 1973 prices to obtain values for 1960, 1961, 1962, 1962, 1963, 1964 expressed in 1973 prices to obtain valu

RDP83T00853R000100020002

b Gross fixed productive investment in agriculture includes investment for the construction and equipping of livestock shelters, irrigation and drainage construction, electrification, and expenditures for tractors, transportation, agricultural machinery and equipment. (Although not precisely the same, this coverage of investment in agriculture is close to that normally used in Western countries.)

investment for construction of housing, schools, clubs, hospitals, and

the like in rural areas.

Since the beginning of the Ninth Five-Year Plan (1971-75), reports on annual plans and plan fulfillment have presented gross fixed investment in "agriculture-entire complex of works." This concept includes no only productive investment and nonproductive investment only productive investment and nonproductive investment for construction of housing, schools, clubs, hospitals, and the like, but also productive and nonproductive expenditures for construction of repair enterprises, agricultural scientific-research institutions, construction-related enterprises of the Ministry of Land agricultural sector) for the processing of agricultural products. Also included are expenditures for construction work performed by school the development of agriculture.

supporting agricultural development (for the most part industrial branches) and gross fixed investment in housing construction in rural areas financed with funds of collective farm members and wage and salary workers. While the category" Additions to production capacities," refers for the most part to industrial branches supplying

Data for 1965 and 1970-80 are from the table entitled "Capital Investment in the Development of Agriculture for the Entire industries; Complex of Works" expressed in 1973 prices and found in the same successed in 1973 prices and found in the same speciated by obtaining a value series expressed in 1969 prices for motor vehic generated by obtaining a value series expressed in 1969 prices for motor vehic series by setting 1970 equal to 100 and multiplying the indexes by the benchmark values for 1970 expressed in 1973 prices to obtain values for 1966, 1967, 1968, and 1969 and 1973 prices to obtain values for 1966, 1967, 1968, and 1969 or expressed in 1973 prices to obtain values for 1966, 1967, 1968, and 1969 or the word expressed in 1973 prices to obtain values for 1966, 1967, 1968, and 1969 or the value were derived as a residual No data are available prior to 1965.

The stress of the Ninh Five-Year Plan (1971-75), an even broader concept of gross fixed capital investment in agriculture and broader concept of gross fixed capital investment in agriculture and broader concept of gross fixed capital investment in signiculture complex of works" (discussed in footnote of but also gross fixed capital investment in additions to production capacities in branches

agriculture—such as the mineral fertilizer industry, the herbicides industries—tactor, truck, and agricultural machinery industries—the category probably also includes expenditures to provide radio and telephone facilities in rural areas and expenditures for railroad, motor vehicle, and air trasportation to meet the needs of rural areas. This concept is rately mentioned in Soviet economic literature, and when mentioned its coverage is almost always ambiguous. Data unavailable.

# Gross Fixed Capital Investment by Branch of Industry, 1960-80 a

Billion Rubles, 1973' Prices

	1960	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1961	8961	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	9761	1977	1978	6261	086
Total gross fixed investment in industry b	14.667	16.647	16.692	17.807	18.997	20.266	20.909	22.301	24.098	25.033	27.957	29.593	31.666	33,334	35.790	38.932	40.612	42.563	45.240	45.361	46.505
Fuels and power c	4.407	4.771	5.170	5.612	6.104	6.646	7.023	7.224	7.336	7.370	8.221	8.899	9.350	889.6	10.285	11.143	11.629				15.350
Electric power	1.641	1.779	1.928	2.090	2.266	2.456	2.563	2.668	2.675	2.702	3.021	3.312	3.328	3.356	3.344	3.649	3.775		1		4.190
Fuels	2.766	2.992	3.242	3.522	3.838	4.190	4.460	4.556	4.661	4.668	5.200	5.587	6.022	6.332	6.941	7.494	7.854	8.593			11.160
Coal	1.133	1.180	1.229	1.280	1.334	1.389	1.439	1.470	1.429	1.398	1.502	1.582	1.668	1.696	1.681	1.710	1.747	1.848	2.035		2.094
Oil	1.312	1.434	1.567	1.712	1.871	2.044	2.129	2.105	2.154	2.191	2.491	2.720	2.961	3.038	3.444	3.802	4.066	4.503	5.270	5.860	6.630
Gas	0.215	0.265	0.326	0.402	0.496	0.611	0.749	0.844	0.910	0.924	1.031	1.111	1.216	1.466	1.718	1.777	1.835	2.031	2.210	2.020	2.170
Other	0.106	0.113	0.120	0.128	0.137	0.146	0.143	0.137	0.168	0.155	0.176	0.174	0.177	0.132	0.098	0.205	0.206	0.211	0.210	0.191	0.266
Ferrous metallurgy	1.386	1.457	1.532	1.610	1.692	1.779	1.689	1.933	2.197	2.087	2.021	2.132	2.297	2.744	2.931	2.805	2.907	3.059	3.030	3.210	3.070
Chemicals and petrochemicals	1.049	1.212	1.400	1.617	1.867	2.157	2.078	2.034	2.141	2.354	2.400	2.468	2.742	3.101	3.528	3.791	3.972	4.480	5.320	4.500	4.010
Machine building and metalworking	2.034	2.214	2.409	2.622	2.854	3.106	3.393	3.843	4.312	4.862	5.958	6.297	982.9	7.112	7.820	9.408	10.053				11.500
Construction materials	1.188	1.150	1.114	1.078	1.044	1.011	1.061	1.133	1.377	1.584	1.671	1.723	1.932	1.907	1.876		1.664		ı		1.860
Consumer goods industry d	2.003	2.078	2.158	2.244	2.336	2.436	2.702	2.964	3.175	3.187	3.508	3.602	3.884	4.065	4.281	4.543	4.415	4.262	4.315	4.420	4.600
Light industry	0.464	0.512	0.564	0.622	989.0	0.757	0.890	1.028	1.125	1.105	1.225	1.297	1.450	1.469	1.504	1.586	1.747	1.679	1.690	1.670	1.760
Processed foods industry	1.539	1.566	1.594	1.622	1.650	1.679	1.812	1.936	2.050	2.082	2.283	2.305	2.434	2.596	2.777	2.957	2.668	2.583	2.625	2.750	2.840
Timber, woodworking, paper	0.860	0.912	996.0	1.024	1.086	1.151	1.091	1.146	1.174	1.164	1.326	1.462	1.595	1.629	1.573	1.743	1.791	1.936	1.905	1.770	1.725
ther	1.740	2.853	1.943	2.000	2.014	1.980	1.872	2.024	2.386	2.425	2.852	3.010	3.080	3.088	3.496	1	4.181	İ	4.145	4.410	4.390
a Except where indicated, data were obtained from N.kh., various	, various	annua	rate of gro	wth of inve	stment exi	enditures	in 1973' pr	ices	handbooks	. capital ir	avestment sea	ctions. Value	s for 1966-1	59 and	oeneral	oleo hy ba	lating the	arowth dur	9-1961-6	Sucing 10	one OS
Except where indicated, data were obtained from N kh., various	1.740 , various	7	annual rate of growth of investment expen	2.000 wth of inve	2.014 stment exp	1.980 venditures	.980 1.872 2.0 aditures in 1973' prices	2.024 ices	2.386 handbooks	2.425 3, capital ir	2.852 1vestment sea	2.386 2.425 2.852 3.010 3.080 3.088 handbooks, capital investment sections. Values for 1966-69 and	3.080 s for 1966-0	3.088 59 and	3.496 general	3.640 ed by calcu	4.181		4.182	4.182 4.145	4.145 4.410

issues. Benchmark values for 1965. 1970, and 1973-80 expressed in bel 1973 prices are found in N.kh. 26 06 fet, 1917-77, p. 438, N.Kh., 1977, p. 354, N.Kh., 1979, p. 368, and N.Kh., 1979, p. 368, and N.Kh., 1980, p. 338. Values for 1966-68, and 1971-44, except as noted, were calculated using data expressed in 1969 prices found in earlier issued of N.Kh., and the methodology found in the appendix. Pure so that for 1978 of the base year (1965 = 100). The indexes were multipled of make 1965 the base year (1965 = 100). The indexes were multipled conby the benchmark values for 1960 to obtain values for 1960 confidence.

expressed in 1973' prices.

Because data could not be found for the years 1960 through 1965 in a consistent set of prices, values for 1961 through 1964 for all sectors, except as noted, were generated by calculating the average

1971-74 were constructed from earlier data expressed in 1969 prices 1670-74 multin in the CBAM handbooks, using the methodology described in the appendix to obtain values for 1966, 1967, 1968, 1969, 1971, 1972, 1973; and 1974 expressed in 1973 prices.

The "Fuels" industry values were then used as a control total; that is, these data were assumed comparable with the NAA. data for the individual branches of the fuels industry. "Coal." "Oil," and "Gas" is us exect on investment data are published in the NAA. and these values were constructed similar to the other industrial sectors described.

For all years except 1961-64, "Coal," "Oil," and "Gas" were netted out of "Fuels" to obtain investment in "Other" Tuel industries. These in out of "Fuels" to obtain investment in" Other" Tuel industries. These in include peat, shale, and fuel wood. To set up a more stable data in include peat, shale, and fuel wood. To set up a more stable data between 1961 and 1965 using 1960 and 1965 as datum points.

Values for 1961, 1962, 1963, and 1964 expressed in 1973 rubles
were calculated on the basis of these rates of growth.

P The values for "Total industry investment" shown in this table
differ sightly from the values shown for "Industry" in table 1
defectues expenditures of collective farms on the construction of

industrial enterprises are excluded from the data in table 5. In 1980, collective farms spent about 0.775 billion rubles (1973 prices) on the construction of such enterprises.

• The "Fucls" category was obtained differently from the other sectors because the N/LA, does not publish a "Fucls" ortal. "Fucls" benchmark values for 1960, 1965, 1970, and 1975-79 expressed in 1973' prices were found in 1977, 1980, and 1981 CEMA

se golden and points. Values for 1961, 1962, 1963, and 1964 in expressed in 1977 trubes were calculated on the basis of this rate of growth. For these years, all branches of the fuel industry were summed to obtain 1961, 1962, 1963, and 1964 values for total surprise verse and pranches of the fuel industry were summed to obtain 1961, 1962, 1963, and 1964 values for total surprise verse and power 'total was calculated for all years by summing "Electric power" and "Prues."

"Consumer goods industry" of all years was calculated by summing "Light industry" and "Processed foods industry."

d "The 'Other "category for all years was calculated by summing "Light industry" and "Processed foods industry."

in "The 'Charle "actegory for all years was calculated as a residual. It is included the nonferrous metals industry, glass and porclain industry, and other miscellaneous industrial branches.

Billion Rubles

	1960	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1961	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	
Gross additions to capital a (1973' prices)	37.5	38.1	42.7	46.6	49.5	51.4	55.0	59.6	61.6	9.99	76.4	81.3	83.9	92.8	97.2	105.6	107.1	110.5	120.1	120.1	130.2	
Utilization of national income for accumulation b (current prices)																						
Growth of fixed assets	25.3	25.3	28.4	28.2	28.9	27.9	29.7	31.8	34.0	40.0	51.1	57.7	55.2	60.2	62.0	61.2	NA	N.	NA NA	Ą	NA NA	
Productive	15.7	15.6	18.2	17.4	19.2	17.5	18.9	19.4	20.7	25.5	32.1	33.5	34.7	39.0	40.9	38.8	NA	NA	¥X	NA AN	V.	
Nonproductive	9.6	7.6	10.2	10.8	2.6	10.4	10.8	12.4	13.3	14.5	19.0	20.2	20.5	21.2	21.1	22.4	AN.	NA NA	ΑN	NA.	NA.	
Unfinished construction c (current prices)												-										
Total economy	21.4	24.8	26.1	26.2	27.1	29.6	32.5	35.8	41.8	48.6	52.5	57.9	65.2	67.1	71.7	76.7	84.1	92.5	99.0	106.4	105.1	
Productive	15.1	17.9	19.5	20.0	21.3	23.5	25.3	27.5	31.5	35.9	39.3	43.3	49.0	50.8	54.6	58.6	64.3	71.4	76.2	82.0	80.8	1
Industry				-																		
Electric power	1.4	1.5	1.7	1.6	1.9	2.1	2.4	2.6	2.6	2.9	3.1	3.5	3.6	3.9	3.9	4.1	4.2	4.6	5.0	5.5	5.5	
Coal	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.5	9.1	1.7	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.4	2.4	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.7	2.9	2.8	2.8	
Oil and gas	1.1	1.6	1.7	1.5	1.8	2.1	2.3	2.5	2.5	2.8	3.1	3.6	4.1	4.5	8.4	5.2	5.7	6.3	8.9	7.5	7.8	1
Ferrous metallurgy	6.0	1.3	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.6	1.5	1.7	2.2	2.4	2.2	5.6	3.0	3.0	3.5	3.4	3.7	4.1	4.0	5.0	4.3	
Chemicals	1.0	1.3	1.5	1.6	2.1	2.3	2.5	2.6	2.7	3.1	2.9	3.0	3.5	3.7	4.5	9.0	5.7	7.5	9.2	6.8	7.6	
Machine building and metalworking	1.5	1.8	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.5	2.6	2.9	3.4	£.4	4.9	5.4	6.3	6.9	7.5	6.8	8.8	9.5	6.6	10.7	10.5	l
Wood and woodworking	9.0	8.0	8.0	6.0	1.0	1:1	=	1.0	1.1		1.1	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.8	2.1	2.1	2.3	1.9	
Construction materials	8.0	I		1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0	1:1	1.3	1.5	1.4	1.5	8.1	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.9	1.9	
Light industry	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	9.0	9.0	0.7	9.0	0.8	8.0	6:0	8.0	6.0	6.0	1:1	-:	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Food industry	6.0	1.0	1:0	=	1.1	6.0		1.2	1.4	9.1	1.7	1.8	1.9	1.8	1.9	1.9	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.3	
Nonproductive d	6.3	6.9	9.9	6.2	5.8	6.1	7.2	8.3	10.3	12.7	13.2	14.6	16.2	16.3	17.1	18.1	8.61	21.1	22.8	24.4	24.3	
a Benchmark values for 1965 and 1970-80 were obtained from	tained from		Source: N.	kh. various	b Source: Nkh. various issues: section entit		ed "Ililization of	on of		_								l				1

\* Benchmark values for 1965 and 1970-80 were obtained from NA., as 60 fer; 1917-77, p. 423; NA.M.; 1976, p. 537; and NA.M.; NA NA., as 60 fer; 1917-77, p. 423; NA.M.; NA, as 60 fer; 1917-77, p. 423; NA.M.; NA, as 60 fer; 1910-70; values for solidows: A value sor series expressed in 1969 prices was available from earlier issues of Cur. NA.M. for the period 1961-70; values for 1966-69 were constructed values from the appendix; values for 1966-69 were constructed. S. Natues for 1966-69 were constructed from the NA.M. data expressed in 1969 prices by constructing an index with 1965 = 100 and multiplying it by the benchmark value for 1965 expressed in 1973 prices; finally, for 1960, values for gross additions to capital structured from the series was indexed with 1965 = 100 and multiplied by the benchmark value for prices were found in NAM. 1965, p. 521. The series was indexed with 1965 = 100 and multiplied by the benchmark value for that year expressed in 1973 prices to obtain a value for 1960 expressed in 1973 prices.

Source: N.A., various suses, section entitled "Ufilization of National Income for Consumption and Accumulation," a found for example, in N.A., 1975, pp. 565-568. Values are expressed in current prices.

Source: N.A., various issues; table entitled "Unfinished Construction of State and Cooperative Enterprises and Organizations according to Individual Branches of Industry." The value of unfinished construction of collective farms is not included. Values

are expressed in current prices.

The "Nonproductive" category is calculated as a residual, by subtracting the value of "Productive unfinished construction" from total "Unfinished construction."

Other Published Statistics. The Central Statistical Administration also publishes (or has published) statistics on "gross additions to capital" (vvod v deystviye osnovnykh fondov), growth in fixed capital, and "unfinished construction" (nezavershennoye stroitel'stvo).

The category "gross additions to capital" (commissionings) in a given year is defined as including: the value of additional enterprises, buildings, and installations, completed and put in service, for both productive and nonproductive purposes; the value of all equipment types put into service (whether requiring installation or not); the value of additional production tools, implements and other manufactured articles; the value of additions to perennial plantings (orchards, vineyards, and so on); the cost of work done to irrigate and drain land; the cost of dredging operations and bog preparation; the value of new commercial petroleum and gas-producing wells and exploratory wells that meet specified requirements for petroleum or gas flow; and other outlays augmenting the value of fixed assets.

As part of its presentation of national income statistics in current prices, the Central Statistical Administration published from 1958 to 1975 values for the growth of productive and nonproductive fixed capital as part of "accumulation." The definition of the change in the value of fixed assets in these series differs from the definitions that apply to commissionings or the change in the series of fixed capital in comparable prices. Growth in fixed assets is equal to new fixed investment plus capital repairs less depreciation and retirements.

"Unfinished construction" refers to construction and installation work under way but not finished to the point of permitting use of these assets. It includes equipment in the process of being installed or actually in place in uncompleted structures.

Consistency of the Published Data. In theory, the statistical series presented in this report should be consistent with each other. For example, the relationship between Soviet investment expenditures, unfinished construction, and the value of the capital stock should be the following:

$$(1) K_{t} = K_{t-1} + I_{g_{t}} - R_{t} + (UC_{t-1} - UC_{t})$$

where:

K<sub>t</sub> = Capital stock in operation at the end of year t

 $K_{t-1}$  = Capital stock in operation at the end of year t-1

I<sub>gt</sub> = Gross fixed capital investment in year t

R<sub>t</sub> = The value of capital stock retired in year t

UC<sub>t</sub> = The value of unfinished construction at the end of year t

Also, gross additions to capital should be related to the value of the capital stock as follows:

(2) 
$$K_t = K_{t-1} + C_t - R_t$$

where:

C<sub>t</sub> = Gross additions to capital (commissionings) in year t

It follows, therefore that:

$$(3) C_t = I_{g_t} + (UC_{t-1} - UC_t)$$

In reality, however, the data are not compatible. Inconsistencies may arise, for instance, because of differing price bases used to construct the various published series. The unfinished construction data are given in current prices, while the capital stock data are published in constant 1973 prices. Investment data, on the other hand, include machinery and equipment expenditures valued in 1973 prices and construction costs expressed in 1969 estimate prices, with account taken of adjustments made to construction norms promulgated in 1976.

In addition, some portion of investment expenditures does not result in either commissioned capacity or unfinished construction. For example, some new fixed capital investment expenditures such as for drilling activity and some incidental outlays—land surveys,

personnel training, and the like—are not assignable to fixed capital (and thus are not reflected in commissionings).

On the other hand, official new fixed capital investment data do not include all new fixed capital investment expenditures for the year. Outlays for equipment for state law institutions, schools, hospitals, kindergartens, and nurseries are omitted although these excluded expenditures do appear in official commissionings data for the year.

Finally, even though official investment and commissionings data reflect collective farm investment and commissionings, the unfinished construction series does not cover the backlog of unfinished projects financed from collective farm investment. Conceivably there could be annual change in this backlog.

Equation (3) was used to test the compatibility of published statistical series. If the data are totally consistent, the expression should hold. We found, however, that when the data for total fixed investment, total fixed capital, and unfinished construction in the economy were substituted into the expression the equality did not hold in any year during the period (see table 7). Still, the ratio of the left side of the equation to the right ranged only from 0.93 to 1.02 and averaged 0.97. Moreover, since 1971 it has been practically constant. We concluded, therefore, that despite the problems discussed, the data are reasonably consistent and reliable.

A second means of testing the published series for consistency is to use the data to calculate annual retirement rates for the Soviet capital stock and compare the results with retirement rates published by Moscow. Two variants were tried. Variant I was obtained by solving for  $R_t$  in equation (1), and variant II by solving for  $R_t$  in equation (2). The results are presented in table 8.

The average retirement rate of the Soviet capital stock during the period 1961-80 ranged between 1.5 percent annually (variant II) and 1.7 percent annually (variant I). Such rates are low, particularly relative to retirement rates in the industrial West. The US Department of Commerce estimates, for example, that the overall stock of equipment and structures in

Table 7

Testing the Consistency of Soviet Data on Capital Formation for the Economy as a Whole

Year	Ig	$(UC_{t-1})$	Columns (1)+(2)	C <sub>t</sub>	Columns (4)÷(3)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1961	44.3	-3.4	40.9	38.1	.93
1962	45.6	-1.3	44.3	42.7	.96
1963	48.6	-0.1	48.5	46.6	.96
1964	53.3	-0.9	52.4	49.5	.94
1965	56.0	-2.5	53.5	51.4	.96
1966	59.9	-2.9	57.0	55.0	.96
1967	64.9	-3.3	61.6	59.6	.97
1968	70.0	-6.0	64.0	61.6	.96
1969	72.4	-6.8	65.6	66.6	1.02_
1970	80.7	-3.9	76.8	76.4	.99
1971	86.5	-5.4	81.1	81.3	1.00
1972	92.7	-7.3	85.4	83.9	.98
1973	97.0	-1.9	95.1	92.8	.98
1974	104.0	-4.6	99.4	97.2	.98
1975	112.9	-5.0	107.9	105.6	.98
1976	118.0	-7.4	110.6	107.1	.97
1977	122.3	-8.4	113.9	110.5	.97
1978	129.7	-6.5	123.2	120.1	.97
1979	130.7	-7.4	123.3	120.1	.97
1980	133.5	+1.3	134.8	130.2	.97

the United States was retired at an average annual rate of 3.7 percent during 1961-80 and industrial equipment and structures at 4.2 percent annually.

The Soviets publish retirement rates for total industry and by branch of industry but not for the total capital stock in the economy. For total industry the published rates have ranged from 1.1 percent to 2.1 percent since 1965 and, in general, are slightly higher than the rates we calculated. However, because the machinery component should be higher in industry than in the total economy and machinery tends to wear out more quickly than structures, a priori, one would expect the industrial retirement rate to be higher. In general, the calculated retirement rates for the overall capital stock were of the same order of magnitude as

Table 8

Billion Rubles

### **Estimating Retirement Rates of Soviet Fixed Capital Stock**

	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970
Variant I a	<u>.</u>							***********		
Value of fixed capital stock retired	5.9	6.3	6.5	9.4	8.5	13.0	15.6	15.0	10.6	11.8
Retirement rate (percent) b	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.9	1.6	2.2	2.5	2.2	1.5	1.5
Variant II c										
Value of fixed capital stock retired	3.1	4.7	4.6	6.5	6.4	11.0	13.6	12.6	11.6	11.4
Retirement rate (percent) b	0.9	1.1	1.0	1.3	1.2	1.9	2.2	1.9	1.6	1.5
	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980
Variant I a										
Value of fixed capital stock retired	12.1	8.4	17.1	13.4	21.9	21.6	21.9	23.2	23.3	27.8
Retirement rate (percent) b	1.4	0.9	1.7	1.3	1.9	1.8	1.7	1.6	1.5	1.7
Variant II c										
Value of fixed capital stock retired	12.3	6.9	14.8	11.2	19.6	18.1	18.5	20.1	20.1	23.2
Retirement rate (percent) b	1.5	0.8	1.5	1.1	1.7	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Calculated on the basis of the following relationship:  $K_t = K_{t-1} + I_g - R_t + (UC_{t-1} - UC_t)$  where  $K_t$  and  $K_{t-1}$  are the values of the fixed capital stock in the USSR in periods t and t-1, respectively,  $I_g$  is gross fixed capital investment in period t,  $R_t$  is the value of the capital stock retired in period t, and  $UC_{t-1}$  are the values of unfinished construction in periods t and t-1, respectively.

° Calculated on the basis of the following relationship:  $K_t = K_{t-1} + C_t - R_t$  where  $K_t$  and  $K_{t-1}$  are the values of the fixed capital stock in the USSR in periods t and t-1, respectively,  $C_t$  is the value of the gross additions to fixed capital in period t (commissionings), and  $R_t$  is the value of the fixed capital stock retired in period t.

the published rates for Soviet industry. The results lend credence, therefore, to our earlier finding that the published data appear generally consistent.

The practice of keeping plants and equipment in operation for protracted periods is probably a major contributor to the general inefficiency that plagues the Soviet economy. The high proportion of aged capital stock that has resulted from such low retirement rates requires large expenditures for maintenance and capital repairs and reduces the productivity of both labor and capital resources throughout the economy.

Inflation and Soviet Investment Statistics. A controversy has recently arisen over the impact of inflation in the USSR on the official investment statistics. The main concern, voiced principally by Alec Nove, is that large inflationary increases in machinery and construction prices are not captured in Soviet price indexes—that is, these indexes are strongly biased downward. Deflation of investment data in current prices by these price indexes causes the published investment statistics to be overstated, perhaps misleading both Soviet planners who manage investment resources and Western economists who use these data to analyze various aspects of the Soviet economy, such

b Calculated by dividing the value of fixed capital stock retired in year t by the total value of fixed capital stock (excluding livestock) on hand on 31 December of the previous year.

as productivity of capital. Nove maintains that, in fact, because of inflation investment costs have been rising rapidly.<sup>7</sup>

Stanley Cohn and Peter Wiles, on the other hand, argue that Nove has exaggerated the impact of inflation on Soviet investment data. First, according to Cohn, the Soviets deflate investment data not by an index that suffers from the downward bias of official price indexes but more realistically by so-called estimate price indexes that reflect costs of investment projects combining particular bundles of machinery and construction. In other words, the investment deflator is not based on unchanging, unrepresentative samples as is the machinery price deflator so that the production of investment goods is not overstated. Cohn's own analysis indicates that the likely upward bias in the investment data "is less than 1 percent per year." 8

Both Cohn and Wiles, furthermore, argue that Nove has confused declining productivity of investment with inflation. That is, the rapidly increasing costs of commissioning new capacity in the Soviet Union mainly reflect an increase in the amount of capital assets required to mine, process, and transport a given amount of output rather than increasing prices of capital goods. Various factors are responsible for the rising trend in the cost per unit of output produced, including:

- The increasing dependence of the Soviet economy on the Siberian areas of the country for fuels and raw material resources. Developing these new resource areas requires heavy capital investment in both basic facilities for exploration and exploitation as well as for social overhead capital.
- The declining quality of readily available raw materials from the more "traditional" locations in European Russia. As lower quality resources are being

extracted from more distant, less hospitable locations, capital costs have been rising more rapidly than output.

Wiles also attempted to measure the rate of price inflation in investment goods. He estimates that the rates of domestic cost inflation during 1966-76 were 2 percent a year for the machinery component of investment and 2.5 percent a year for industrial construction.

Our own research found inflation in machinery prices to be quite low. Analysis of eight types of machinery items, for example, indicated a 7- to 11-percent annual rate of increase in the prices of so-called new products during 1967-73.9 The overall rate of inflation in machinery prices including established or unchanged models, however, was found to have been almost negligible. Moreover, a comparison of the trend in the official series for investment in machinery and equipment (adjusted to a production basis and modified for exports and imports) with the trend in CIA's index of production of producer durables shows

### Comparison of Soviet and CIA Measures of Producer Durables Production

Average Annual Percentage Growth

	USSR: Adjusted Investment in Machinery	CIA: Producer in Durables Production
1951-60	12.3	12.1
1961-70	8.6	8.3
1971-79	7.3	7.6
1951-79	9.5	9.4

that the two series grew at about the same rate during 1950-80. The CIA's producer durables index itself probably overstated machinery growth by a maximum 1.2 percentage points per year, according to one

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> A. Nove, "A Note on Growth, Investment, and Price-Indices," Soviet Studies, vol. XXXIII, No. 1 (January 1981), p. 143.

<sup>8</sup> See Stanley H. Cohn, "A Comment on Alec Nove, 'A Note on Growth, Investment and Price Indexes," Soviet Studies, vol. XXXIII, No. 2 (April 1981), pp. 296-299, and Peter Wiles, "Soviet Consumption and Investment Prices, and the Meaningfulness of Real Investment," Soviet Studies, vol. XXXIV, No. 2, (April 1982), pp. 289-295.

These results are based on a sample containing only new products—that is, the sample included individual model prices only once, the first year they appeared in the data base. With established models also included in the sample, the rate of inflation was much less. Therefore, the measurement of inflation is accurate only to the extent that the sample included the proper mix of established and new models. See Robert E. Leggett, "Measuring Inflation in the Soviet Machinebuilding Sector, 1960-1973," Journal of Comparative Economics (June, 1981), pp. 169-184.

estimate.<sup>10</sup> Annual inflation in that part of the machinery and equipment component of investment that is of domestic origin would then be about 1 percent.

Investment in machinery, however, also reflects imported machinery and equipment. How the rising prices that the USSR pays for such machinery influence reported investment in constant prices is pretty much a mystery. One careful study of Soviet foreign trade prices concludes that imports of machinery are not deflated by the Central Statistical Administration—when it compiles national income accounts in constant prices. 11 Whether this approach also applies to investment statistics is not known. In any event, the possible impact on investments of inflation in the prices of machinery purchased abroad can be assessed roughly. First, imported machinery accounted for at most about 10 percent of investment in machinery over the last 20 years. Second, according to Soviet calculations, prices on imported machinery rose by 6 percent per year in the 1970s, which is certainly a faster rate of inflation than obtained in the 1960s. If all of the inflation in imported machinery found its way into investment in constant prices, the inflation in the investment series would be a weighted average of inflation in the prices of domestically produced machinery (assume 1 percent per year from the preceding discussion) and inflation in the prices of imported machinery (6 percent per year at the outside). The weighted average of the two inflation rates is 1.5 percent per year.

A rough estimate of the amount of potential inflation in the construction-installation component of new fixed investment can also be calculated from a comparison of the official index of construction installation work with an index of inputs into construction compiled by CIA.<sup>12</sup> The construction installation index rises about 1 percent per year faster than the

Table," forthcoming.

index of construction inputs; the difference can be tentatively used as an approximation of the maximum inflation in the official construction installation work series.

To test the effects of plausible rates of hidden or unrecorded inflation on the capital stock indexes, Soviet capital stock values since 1960 were simulated through a series of calculations beginning with "deflated" values for commissionings and retirements. Alternative investment price deflators that bracket the rates of inflation discussed here were constructed assuming 1-percent and 2-percent inflation (1973=100).<sup>13</sup> Then commissionings and retirements were deflated individually and new values for fixed capital were calculated.

Somewhat surprisingly, the deflated series grows more rapidly than the official series.

### Average Annual Percentage Growth in Fixed Capital (1973 prices)

	1961-80	1961-70	1971-80
Officially reported	7.9	8.3	7.4
Adjusted for 1-percent hidden inflation	8.2	9.0	7.4
Adjusted for 2-percent hidden inflation	8.9	10.7	7.1

It turns out that the deflation raises the value of commissionings in the 1960s relative to the value of commissionings in the 1970s. Consequently, increments to the capital stock are relatively larger in the 1960s than in later years in the deflated series, and, therefore, the rate of growth of the capital stock in the 1960s is greater in the deflated than in the official series. (Retirement values when deflated are also higher in the 1960s than in the 1970s. But since they

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Ray Converse, An Index of Industrial Production in the USSR (Washington, D.C., Joint Economic Committee, Congress of the United States, forthcoming). About 60 percent of the producer durables index is based on series in value terms. Therefore, the index is almost certainly not totally free of the effects of inflation.

<sup>11</sup> US Bureau of the Census, "The Domestic Value of Soviet Foreign Trade: Exports and Imports in the 1972 Input-Output

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See, for example, Rush V. Greenslade, "The Real National Product of the U.S.S.R., 1950-75," Soviet Economy in a New Perspective, Joint Economic Committee of the US Congress, 1976, pp. 292-294.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> The values for gross commissionings (excluding livestock) were taken from table 6. Retirements were calculated as the differences between gross commissionings and the changes in gross fixed capital (excluding livestock) found in table 1. Gross commissionings in the year were deflated by the investment price deflator for year t-5 to take into account construction lags, and retirements in year t were deflated by the investment price deflator for year t-20, assuming an average service life of 20 years.

are smaller than commissionings, the net effect is still to raise the value of increments in fixed capital in the early years relative to increments in later years). By the late 1970s, however, deflated growth in fixed capital is less than the officially reported growth, and this divergence would increase in the 1980s under the assumed rates of hidden inflation.

### Errata

Notice to recipients of <u>Soviet Statistics on Capital</u> Formation, SOV 82-10093, <u>August 1982</u>.

1. Table 4 (page 6):

Under the heading "Gross fixed investment in agriculture--entire complex of works," the subheadings "productive" and "nonproductive" are not components of "collective farms" as shown. Rather they are a separate breakdown of the major heading "Gross fixed investment in agriculture--entire complex of works."

2. Table 7 (page 10):

The column head  $UC_{t-1}$  should read  $UC_{t-1} - UC_{t}$ .

3. Text table (page 12):

The second column head should read "CIA: Producer Durables Production" vice "CIA: Producer in Durables Production."

### **Appendix**

## Converting Data From One Price Base to Another

The series shown for Soviet gross fixed capital stock and gross fixed capital investment are expressed in constant prices—the capital stock series is expressed in constant 1973 rubles and the capital investment series in constant 1973' rubles. Since in each case a complete series is not available in the same price in the statistical handbooks, a method had to be devised to convert data expressed in one price base to another.

The method used is that used by Gillula to construct fixed capital stock data series in 1973 prices for the Soviet republics.<sup>14</sup> For explanatory purposes, the conversion of fixed capital stock data expressed in 1969 prices to a 1973-price-based series is described. The general procedure, however, can be used to transform any series in one set of prices into a series expressed in a different price base.

Assume that values for fixed capital stock expressed in constant prices is desired for the period 1970-80. Moreover, assume that data expressed in 1973 rubles are available only for the years 1970 and 1975-80 and that capital stock data in 1969 rubles are available for the period 1970-75.

A reasonable approximation of the values of fixed capital in 1973 prices for the period 1971-74 can be derived by calculating growth indexes from the capital stock data in 1969 prices and applying them to the benchmark values for 1970 and 1975 in 1973 prices. As Gillula points out, an index calculated in 1969 prices may differ from an index calculated in 1973 prices because of (1) differences in the relative prices of assets in the two years and (2) changes over time in the intrabranch composition of fixed assets. These differences should be taken into account in estimating the 1973-price-based series.

"James W. Gillula, "Fixed Capital in Soviet Republics in 1973 Prices: 1960 to 1979," Working Paper, Foreign Demographic Analyses Division, US Bureau of the Census, October 1981.

One way to account for such differences is to first construct an index of the growth of fixed capital in 1969 prices between 1970 and 1975:

(1) 
$$G_{69} = \frac{K_{69}^{1975}}{K_{69}^{1970}}$$

Where, for example,  $K_{69}^{1975}$  is the ruble value of the capital stock in 1975 expressed in 1969 prices.

This index of growth ( $G_{69}$ ) is equal to the product of the five annual average rates of growth in 1969 prices during the period 1970-75:

(2) 
$$G_{69} = g_{69}^{1971} \cdot g_{69}^{1972} \cdot g_{69}^{1973} \cdot g_{69}^{1974} \cdot g_{69}^{1975}$$

Since growth in 1969 prices is not the same as growth in 1973 prices:

(3) 
$$G_{69} \neq G_{73}$$

adjustment is necessary. Gillula's adjustment is to multiply both sides of equation (2) by the ratio  $G_{73}/G_{69}$ , which gives:

$$(4) \quad G_{73} = \frac{G^{73}}{G^{69}} \left( g_{69}^{1971} \bullet g_{69}^{1972} \bullet g_{69}^{1973} \bullet g_{69}^{1974} \bullet g_{69}^{1975} \right)$$

This adjustment can be distributed equally among the five terms in the parentheses by using a factor  $\alpha$  defined as follows:

$$(5) \quad \alpha = \sqrt[5]{\frac{G_{73}}{G_{60}}}$$

Values for the individual years 1971 through 1974 expressed in 1973 prices can then be calculated as follows:

(6) 
$$K_{73}^{1971} = \alpha \cdot g_{69}^{1971} \cdot K_{73}^{1970}$$

$$K_{73}^{1972} = \alpha \cdot g_{69}^{1972} \cdot K_{73}^{1971}$$

$$K_{73}^{1973} = \alpha \cdot g_{69}^{1973} \cdot K_{73}^{1972}$$

$$K_{73}^{1974} = \alpha \cdot g_{69}^{1974} \cdot K_{73}^{1973}$$